

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/824,787	ZAUDERER ET AL
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After Final

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Tracey Muller (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 March 2006

**Time:** 3 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

84,119,121,123,158,164-166 and 210-214

**Claims discussed:**

84,119,121,123,158,164-166 and 210-214 and claim 215, which was not entered.

**Prior art documents discussed:**

U.S. Patent Application US 2002/0052308A1 (May 2, 2002)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Participants discussed possible claim amendments. A consensus was not agreed upon and consequently an allowance of the instant claims was not possible*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)